From: Bercik, Lisa M. [lisa.bercik@aptim.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2020 5:13 PM

To: Mccray, Sean-Ryan CTR (USA) [sean-ryan.mccray.ctr@navy.mil]; Liscio, Matthew P CIV

USN NAVSEA DET RASO VA (USA) [matthew.liscio@navy.mil]

CC: Schul, Raymond [raymond.schul@aptim.com]; Greene, Rick [Rick.Greene@aptim.com];

Howard, Leslie Ann CIV USN BRAC PMO SAN CA (USA) [leslie.howard@navy.mil]

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: Parcel F Finger Piers Path Forward

Attachments: Parcel F Status Update Agenda_2020_05_07.docx; HPNS_Parcel F Survey

Summary_050620.xlsx

Agenda and updated summary are attached for tomorrow's call.

Thanks, Lisa

Lisa Bercik, PE, QSD, QSP

Project Manager

APTIM | Project Management

O 619 446 4508

M 619 213 3389

E lisa.bercik@aptim.com

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Bercik, Lisa M.

Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2020 9:17 AM

To: Bercik, Lisa M.; Mccray, Sean-Ryan CTR (USA); matthew.liscio@navy.mil

Cc: Schul, Raymond; Greene, Rick; Howard, Leslie Ann CIV USN BRAC PMO SAN CA (USA)

Subject: Parcel F Finger Piers Path Forward

When: Thursday, May 7, 2020 8:30 AM-9:30 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

Where: Microsoft Teams Meeting

Discuss data collected to date and path forward for the Finger Piers.



Local numbers | Reset PIN | Learn more about Teams | Meeting options

Site Locat on	S te Locat on Deta I			Locations L	that Exceeded Gamma	≥25% α/β Scan Complete?	54 Systematic α/β Statics Complete?	Total α/β Scan Exceedances (Includes MH Survey) Total α/β Static Exceedances (Systematic and Scan Follow-up Statics to Date)		SU Scoping Survey						MH/ Grate Survey							Concrete Samples					
			Gamma Walkover Survey Complete?	Gamma					Alpha Scan Exceed RG (>100 dpm)		Alpha Scan Exceed MDC (>200 dpm)		Beta Scan Exceed L (>500 dpm)	Beta Scan Exceed MDC (>323 dpm)	Alpha Static Exceed (>100 dpm)	Exceed	Exceed RG	Exceed IL	Exceed MDC	Exceed RG	Exceed IL	Beta Scan Exceed MDC (>323 dpm)	Exceed	Exceed	Samples	Samples > RGs?	Notes	
Fnge Pes	Finge Pie 1, Be ths 23-28	SU4	Yes	16	0	Yes	Yes	2229	0	2226	7258	77	0	2	308	0	0	3	8	0	0	0	2	0	0	Y (1)	N	
	Finge Pie 2, Be ths 30-35	SU5	Yes	37	0	Yes	Yes	1286	0	1272	5355	29	0	9	560	0	0	14	32	1	0	0	0	0	0	Y (2)	N	
	Finge Pie 3, Be ths 37-42	SU6	Yes	39	0	Yes	Yes	349	0	338	1943	8	0	2	324	0	0	11	24	0	0	5	5	0	0	Y (2)	N	

Parcel F Fingers Piers Status and Path Forward May 7, 2020

Agenda

- 1. Review Work Plan procedures
 - a. Survey design based on conceptual site model (CSM)
 - b. Perform gamma survey over 100% of survey unit (SU)
 - c. Perform alpha/beta survey over 25% of SU, include locations > investigation level (IL) from gamma survey
 - d. Perform follow up alpha/beta 2-minute statics at locations > a/b scan IL, which is based on WP Table 1 Release Criteria

	Release Criteria
	(dpm/100 cm ²)
Alpha	100
Beta	1000

- e. Perform systematic measurements (smears and statics) at 54 locations per SU
- f. WP states: "If radiological contamination is discovered during the survey, the Parcel F submarine pens and/or finger piers exceeding the release criteria listed in Table 1 will be re-surveyed as Class 1 areas. If no radiological contamination is discovered, no further investigation will be performed and the radiological survey data will be presented in reports and used to support future site decision for the Parcel F structures."
- 2. Review data collected to date in Finger Piers (SUs 4, 5, 6) (see excel spreadsheet)
 - a. Finger Piers
 - i. Alpha/beta scan exceedances in all three SUs; no static exceedances.
 - ii. Recollected MH/grate exceedances in March no exceedances (see excel spreadsheet summary.
- 3. Potential Paths Forward
 - a. Prepare report with collected data.
 - i. Pro: Survey is complete, objectives have been met
 - ii. Con: Agencies may not accept alpha scans above the release criterion; however, we collected concrete samples and there is no contamination (when compared to soil release criteria). Five (5) concrete samples were collected.
 - b. Collect more data (statics) to follow up on scans.
 - i. Pros: Go back to some agreed upon percentage of locations that exceeded the IL (or release criterion for alpha).
 - ii. Cons: What percentage and does this make sense? The highest static was 71 dpm/100cm². Given statistics and the high variability we've observed out in the field, it is likely we will find an exceedance not related to Navy historical activities.
 - c. Scabble the surface of the pier, collect concrete samples.
 - Pros: We address all the scan areas exceeding the IL. We'll have analytical data, which will presumably show the alpha activity isn't from Navy historical activities (like at the sub pens).

Parcel F Fingers Piers Status and Path Forward May 7, 2020

- ii. Cons: Expensive, what do we do with the concrete data we have nothing to compare it to?
- d. Collect more concrete samples on a systematic basis.
 - i. Pros: We'll have analytical data, which will presumably show the alpha activity isn't from Navy historical activities (like at the sub pens).
 - ii. Cons: We won't go back to every scan area that exceeded the alpha release criterion. May not get regulatory approval. What do we do with the concrete data we have nothing to compare it to?